

# NAM-BASED PHOTOTOXICITY RISK ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK FOR AGROCHEMICALS

Edward Chikwana, PhD., MPH

PHOTOTOXICITY WEBINAR SERIES

October 11, 2023

### **Publication**

Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology 135 (2022) 105250



#### A proposed NAM-based tiered phototoxicity testing and human risk assessment framework for agrochemicals

Manoj Aggarwal<sup>a,\*</sup>, Edward Chikwana<sup>b</sup>, Marco Corvaro<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Corteva Agriscience LLC, 1090 Elkton Road, Newark, DE, 19711, USA
<sup>b</sup> Corteva Agriscience LLC, 9330 Zionsville Road, Indianapolis, IN, 46268, USA
<sup>c</sup> Corteva Agriscience Italia, Rome, Italy

#### ARTICLE INFO

#### ABSTRACT

Handling Editor: Dr. Lesa Aylward

#### Keywords:

Phototoxicity risk assessment framework Phototoxicity testing Reference concentration (RfC) OECD TG 432 OECD TG 498 Agrochemicals Regulation (EC) no. 1107/2009 New approach methodologies (NAM) In vitro assays Tiered testing strategy Phototoxicity testing is required by European regulations for agrochemicals with UV/visible molar extinction/ absorption coefficient (MEC) higher than 10 L x mol<sup>-1</sup> x cm<sup>-1</sup> in the 290–700 nm wavelength range. Furthermore, regulations identify a need of considering human exposure in case of positive results. While *in vitro* OECD test guidelines are available for hazard characterisation, there is no guidance on how to utilise positive results in human exposure risk assessments. Our goal was to take a first step towards developing a NAM based tiered testing approach and a framework for non-dietary acute human dermal risk assessment for phototoxicity to agrochemicals. The proposed framework can be divided into a few steps: 1) use the OECD updated MEC values of 1000 L x mol<sup>-1</sup> x cm<sup>-1</sup> as trigger for phototoxicity testing; 2) establish a reference concentration (RfC) from *in vitro* phototoxicity studies using BMC approach, 3) estimate potential exposure to skin, target organ for phototoxicity, using EFSA exposure models, product specific labels and skin penetration values, and 4) phototoxicity risk assessment; 5) refinement to RfC and/or exposure estimates can be considered. Finally, case studies of a nematicide and an herbicide active substance are provided to illustrate the proposed framework.





### **Discussion Topics**





# **Highlights**

Obsolete trigger value for photosafety assessment of agrochemicals in EU

Tiered phototoxicity testing approach

Framework for phototoxicity human risk assessments and refinements

Establish health-based reference values using *in-vitro* studies only

Proposed approach to estimate potential skin exposure to agrochemicals

Case studies to illustrate framework



### **Risk Assessment Approach**



Product label provides risk mitigation options



# **Current EU Guidance**

required where the active substance absorbs electromagnetic radiation in the range 290-700 nm and is liable to reach the eyes or light-exposed areas of skin, either by direct contact or through systemic distribution.

If the UV/Vis molar extinction/absorption coefficient of the active substance is less than 10 L × mol<sup>-1</sup> × cm<sup>-1</sup>, no toxicity testing is required





"a positive result shall be taken into account when considering potential human exposure"



### **Proposed Risk Assessment Approach**





# **Proposed Framework for Agrochemicals**

Use the OECD updated MEC values of 1000 L.mol<sup>-1</sup>.cm<sup>-1</sup> as trigger for phototoxicity testing

Establish a reference concentration (RfC) from *in vitro* phototoxicity studies using BMC approach

Estimate potential exposure to skin, target organ for phototoxicity, using EFSA exposure models, product specific labels and skin penetration values

Perform the phototoxicity risk assessment

Refine RfC and/or exposure estimates as needed



5

2

## **Proposed Framework**

Use the OECD updated MEC values of 1000 L.mol<sup>-1</sup>.cm<sup>-1</sup> as trigger for phototoxicity testing

Establish a reference concentration (RfC) from *in vitro* phototoxicity studies using BMC approach

Estimate potential exposure to skin, target organ for phototoxicity, using EFSA exposure models, product specific labels and skin penetration values

Perform the phototoxicity risk assessment

Refine RfC and/or exposure estimates as needed



5

2

# In Vitro Phototoxicity Testing (OECD 432)





**Ground state** 

The two types of reaction that cause phototoxicity (Adapted from Ibuki & Toyooka, 2014)



# Case Study (OECD 432): RfC Setting

Positive for potential phototoxicity (OECD 432), i.e.,20% cytotoxicity in the presence of UVA light

BMC analysis	Run #1	Run #2	Mean value	
BMCL <sub>20</sub> (µg/mL)*	11.8	11.8	11.8	
BMCU <sub>20</sub> (µg/mL)	19.6	17.9	18.75	

Entire concentration response analyzed using EFSA PROAST tool to establish a Benchmark Concentration (BMC)

\*BMCL being conservative values from a risk assessment point of view and therefore can be considered as a RfC for Tier 0 level

Based on BMCL<sub>20</sub> of 11.8  $\mu$ g/mL, calculated RfC for phototoxicity was 3.5  $\mu$ g/cm<sup>2</sup> (i.e., BMCL<sub>20</sub>\*0.3).



## **Proposed Framework**

Use the OECD updated MEC values of 1000 L.mol<sup>-1</sup>.cm<sup>-1</sup> as trigger for phototoxicity testing

Establish a reference concentration (RfC) from *in vitro* phototoxicity studies using BMC approach

Estimate potential exposure to skin, target organ for phototoxicity, using EFSA exposure models, product specific labels and skin penetration values

Perform the phototoxicity risk assessment

Refine RfC and/or exposure estimates as needed



5

2

### **Identify Relevant Exposure Scenarios**

Acute dermal Exposure Mixing/loading: concentrate



Application : Spray Dilution



Bystander/Resident: Spray Drift



## **Proposed Framework**

Use the OECD updated MEC values of 1000 L.mol<sup>-1</sup>.cm<sup>-1</sup> as trigger for phototoxicity testing

Establish a reference concentration (RfC) from *in vitro* phototoxicity studies using BMC approach

Estimate potential exposure to skin, target organ for phototoxicity, using EFSA exposure models, product specific labels and skin penetration values

Perform the phototoxicity risk assessment

Refine RfC and/or exposure estimates as needed



5

2

# **Case Study: Phototoxicity Risk Estimates**

			Operato				
Exposure Modelling from		M/L	Арр	Арр	Total	Bystander/Resident <sup>5,6</sup>	
	EFSA Model (2014)	(hands)	(hands)	(body)	TOLAI		
	Exposure to skin (µg)	1396	668	295	2359		29
Without PPE <sup>1</sup>	Exposure to skin per unit area <sup>2</sup> (µg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	1.7	0.8	0.02	2.5	Child	0.005
	% RfC <sup>3</sup>	48%	23%	0.5%	72%		0.1%
	Exposure to skin (µg)	32	134	4	170		95
+ Gloves (M/L/A)	Exposure to skin per unit area <sup>2</sup> (µg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	0.04	0.2	0	0.2	Adult	0.01
	% RfC <sup>3</sup>	1%	5%	0%	6%		0.3%

<sup>1</sup>Normal work clothing (long-sleeved shirt, long pants, socks, and shoes) but no PPE;

<sup>2</sup>Adjusted for skin surface area based on surface area of hands of an adult = 820 cm<sup>2</sup> and surface area of the body of an adult = 16370 cm<sup>2</sup> (EFSA, 2014).

 ${}^{3}RfC (\mu g/cm^{2}) = BMCL_{20} \times 0.3 mL/cm^{2}$ 

 ${}^{4}M/L/A = Mixing/Loading/Application$ 

<sup>5</sup>Total dermal exposure from surface deposits & entry into treated crops considered;

<sup>6</sup>Adjusted for skin surface area that could potentially be exposed assuming trunk is covered (i.e., total body surface area minus trunk) child = 5573 cm<sup>2</sup> and adult = 10660 cm<sup>2</sup>



#### **OECD 432 vs 498**



Estimate of Exposure (mg/kg/d)



# Summary

#### Hazard Identification

- ✓ Use Updated MEC value
- ✓ Determine RfC from in-vitro studies

#### **Exposure Characterization**

- ✓ Modeled dermal exposure
- ✓ Dermal penetration refinement

Phototoxicity Risk Characterization





