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Grouping and Read-Across

In the context of hazard assessment, grouping refers to considering closely related chemicals as a group rather than as individual chemicals. Not every chemical in a group needs to be tested for every endpoint. Instead, data for endpoints that have been tested are used to predict, or read-across to, the same untested endpoints for other chemicals in the group.

Grouping and read-across currently account for the greatest reductions in animal use in regulatory testing programmes. In the US High Production Volume Chemical Challenge Program, grouping and read-across satisfied 55 per cent of endpoints for which animal testing would otherwise have been required. In the EU regulation on Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH), read-across has been used for at least one endpoint in 63 per cent of analysed dossiers (see Bishop et al. 2012; ECHA 2017).

Grouping can be divided into category and analogue approaches. A chemical category generally consists of three or more members, while as few as one analogue can be identified for a “target” chemical.

If, within a category, a change in chemical properties corresponds to a trend in toxicity, interpolation can be used to predict values for a target chemical from experimental values for neighbouring category members on either side. In cases in which only one analogue is identified, values must be predicted by extrapolation. Confidence in these predictions depends on the size of the category and the amount of data available for each category member.

To achieve regulatory acceptance, a read-across proposal must be adequately justified for each endpoint, a reference to structural similarity is often insufficient. According to the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) Guidance on Grouping of Chemicals, the rationale for building a chemical category can include the presence of common functional groups, a common mode or mechanism of action or adverse outcome pathway, common constituents (in the case of substances of unknown or variable composition), common precursors or breakdown products, and an incremental and constant change observed in physicochemical properties. The European Chemicals Agency Read-Across Assessment Framework provides an overview of its approach to assessing read-across justifications when encountered in REACH registration dossiers.

Quantitative Structure Activity Relationships

Quantitative structure activity relationships (QSARs) relate chemical structures to properties or activities, such as physicochemical properties and toxicological endpoints. As implemented in the OECD QSAR Toolbox, for example, the use of QSARs can aid in the construction of robust chemical categories, provide quantitative information, and be used to predict toxicity. To achieve regulatory acceptance, the QSAR must be scientifically valid and the test substance must be within the applicability domain of the QSAR model.

Adverse Outcome Pathways and Integrated Approaches to Testing and Assessment

Adverse outcome pathways (AOPs) can be used to relate chemical structure to an adverse outcome through a series of key events. AOPs could allow for justification of read-across and help in the development of QSARs. The AOP for skin sensitisation is the first AOP that has been incorporated into the OECD QSAR Toolbox. AOPs also offer a conceptual framework for organising existing information and developing integrated approaches to testing and assessment (IATA) that can be used strategically to plan testing and aid in regulatory decision-making.

The following resources provide information to ensure optimal use of grouping and read-across for compliance with REACH and similar regulatory testing programmes.

Publications

- Tollefsen et al. 2014. Applying adverse outcome pathways (AOPs) to support integrated approaches to testing and assessment (IATA). Reg Toxicol Pharmacol. 70(3):629–40.

Guidance Documents

- European Centre for Ecotoxicology and Toxicology of Chemicals: Technical Report 116: Category Approaches, Read-Across, (Q)SAR
- European Chemicals Agency: Grouping of Substances and Read-Across
- European Chemicals Agency: Practical Guides
- European Chemicals Agency: Read-Across Assessment Framework
- OECD: Guidance on Grouping of Chemicals, 2nd ed, Series on Testing & Assessment No 194
- OECD: Guidance on the Validation of (Q)SAR Models